

a massive burden upon our already overburdened community services, schools, and local law enforcement agencies. At a time when local and State leaders are making strenuous efforts to keep kids off the streets and in school, education should be employed as an important tool to help solve America's problems, not used as a weapon against its most helpless victims.

Mr. President, reducing the flow of illegal immigrants must first focus upon measures that will actually restrict and hopefully prevent illegal immigrants from entering this country. I support provisions in S. 1664, the Immigration Control and Financial Responsibility Act of 1996, that provides for more border patrol agents, as well as the addition of 300 full-time Immigration and Naturalization Service investigators for each of the next 3 fiscal years. I believe these provisions will provide a much needed boost to the understaffed and overworked agencies that we entrust to keep illegal aliens out of this country. Our focus, again, should be on the prevention and control of illegal immigration, rather than on retribution for illegally immigrating to this country.

Each of my colleagues brings a certain perspective to the immigration debate. I have listened to much of the debate and realized that the great lot of us are products of immigrant families. Personally, I believe I have unique perspective to add to the debate.

Over 60 years ago, my mother legally immigrated from Portugal. Like many people during that time she wanted the opportunity to make a better life for herself and an opportunity to succeed, but to do so in a law abiding way. While on the other hand, my father comes from people, the Northern Cheyenne people, who can document their ties to this land, to this continent for hundreds of years prior to the first explorers of this continent. If I were to take his advice, and the advice of many native American people, they might suggest that we all pack our bags and go home.

Obviously reality dictates real and pragmatic solutions. However, I might also observe that it seems ironic that if this same debate were to take place 100 years ago many of my colleagues, including myself, might not be here today.

In closing, I look forward to the debate on these immigration proposals and hope that this Chamber can adopt fair and effective immigration reform. Let us remember that, with few exceptions, we are all ancestors of immigrants.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of an article that appeared in the Colorado Springs Gazette Telegraph, on immigration, be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the article was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

SUSPECTED ILLEGAL ALIENS LET GO AFTER INS NO-SHOW

(By Teresa Owen-Cooper)

Thirteen suspected illegal immigrants from Mexico were detained briefly in Colorado Springs on Monday night but released after federal authorities couldn't respond to take them into custody, according to the Colorado State Patrol.

The 12 men and one woman from Oaxaca, Mexico, on their way to Tennessee to pick fruit, were stopped on Interstate 25 near U.S. Highway 24 about 7 p.m. after their van was weaving, said state patrol trooper Chuck Coffrin.

Coffrin found 13 people inside the 1972 Ford panel van, and none were able to produce documentation that they were U.S. citizens, officials said.

State patrol officials called the U.S. Immigration and Naturalization Service, who couldn't respond, Coffrin said, adding that the INS gave no indication why.

Because the state patrol doesn't have authority to arrest illegal immigrants, the 13 people were released, Coffrin said.

It was the second time in less than a week that the state patrol has stopped a van carrying suspected illegal immigrants from Mexico and been forced to release them because the INS didn't take action.

On Thursday, the state patrol stopped a van, carrying 19 people, on I-25 about 15 miles south of Colorado Springs, because their van was weaving, according to the state patrol.

GULF WAR SYMPTOMS

Mr. CAMPBELL. Mr. President, ever since the conclusion of the gulf war, returning veterans have complained about a variety of symptoms including dizziness, nausea, loss of equilibrium, and depression.

All of us have visited veterans in our States. And through a series of hearings, those of us on the Veterans' Affairs Committee have been dismayed by the steadfast denial on the part of the Pentagon and the Department of Defense to acknowledge these brave men and women are suffering the after effects of all airborne or waterborne agent or agents that have caused their sickness.

As late as this week, Mr. President, the Pentagon issued a statement saying that after spending \$80 million of taxpayer money, they found no evidence of sickness-inducing agents during the gulf war. Kind of sounds like Vietnam and agent orange all over again.

Well, lo and behold, Mr. President, thanks to an extensive study done by the University of Texas through a grant given by Ross Perot, those complaints from our men and women in uniform appear to be true, and the culprit was a combination of three agents acting in concert with each other. One agent was a common pesticide. Last night the Pentagon, somewhat sheepishly admitted their mistake.

My only questions are these, Mr. President. One, what the heck did they study with the \$80 million? And two, if they are that incompetent they must be in an unmendable state of denial in helping our returning veterans.

Hooray for the University of Texas—boo on the Pentagon.

TRIBUTE TO CHINA-BURMA-INDIA VETERANS ASSOCIATION OF NEVADA

Mr. BRYAN. Mr. President, I rise today to honor the China-Burma-India Veterans Association [CBIVA] of Nevada. These veterans played a decisive role in World War II. The China-Burma-India Veterans were responsible for driving the Japanese out of the treacherous Burma jungles and for building a road from Burma through the Himalayas to China, which was originally called the Burma Road. The China-Burma-India Veterans also flew the most famous of the B-29 airplanes, brought the air war to Japan and its occupied territories and ended the war with the historic atom bombing of mainland Japan.

The China-Burma-India Association was established in 1948 in Milwaukee, WI and is now a nonprofit organization of approximately 7,000 veterans. In Las Vegas, a group of the brave and courageous veterans has established a chapter of their own called the Silver State Basha No. 133 with Eugene Henkin as their current commander. The China-Burma-India Veterans Association, Silver State Basha No. 133, keeps their veterans in touch by sending out more than 200 newsletters to China-Burma-India Veterans of Las Vegas and surrounding communities.

The Silver State Basha No. 133 is an example of the many fine men and women in our country who had the courage, sacrifice, and devotion to serve in World War II. On April 21-23, the China-Burma-India Veterans Association World War II will hold its western area reunion in Las Vegas at the Rio Hotel and Casino. I am pleased to recognize this group and would like to wish the China-Burma-India Veterans Association best wishes on a successful reunion.

TRIBUTE TO JOHN O. HEMPERLEY

Mr. HATFIELD. Mr. President, I rise today to pay tribute to John O. Hemperley, the Budget Officer of the Library of Congress, who passed away last Saturday.

Members and staff of the Appropriations Committee rely heavily on the expertise, efficiency, and responsiveness of agency budget officers. Throughout our Federal Government there is a corps of budget professionals who set the example of dedicated public service. John Hemperley embodied the highest standards of his profession. He possessed a knowledge and understanding of the Library's budget that was unsurpassed, and he was unfailingly responsive in sharing that knowledge with our committee and its staff. He was fierce in his defense of the Library's mission and the budget funding that mission, but he never misrepresented the facts, and he always

faithfully executed the budget enacted by the Congress.

The Library of Congress is a unique and treasured institution. It is the greatest repository of knowledge in the history of the world, and for 196 years the Congress of the United States has supported and nurtured its development. Today the Library faces the challenge of providing new electronic services to all its constituent groups while maintaining its traditional services to the Congress and the Nation, all in a time of severe fiscal constraint.

John O. Hemperley was a unique and treasured individual. For the past 23 years, he supported and nurtured the Library of Congress in its relationship with the Committee on Appropriations. He will be sorely missed, not only by those who knew and loved him here in the Senate and in the Library, but by all those who may never have known him but who benefit daily from the enormous resources the Library provides. The challenges the Library faces will be more daunting without him.

Mr. President, I know I speak for Senator MACK, the chairman of our Legislative Branch Appropriations Subcommittee, and for all other members of the Appropriations Committee, and our staff, in expressing our great sorrow and extending sincere condolences to John's wife, Bess Hemperley, their children, and grandchildren. And may John rest in peace with God.

CHANGE OF VOTE

Mr. MOYNIHAN. Mr. President, on rollcall vote 50, I voted yea. My intention was to vote nay. I ask unanimous consent that I be permitted to change my vote which in no way would change the outcome of the vote.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

THE BAD DEBT BOXSCORE

Mr. HELMS. Mr. President, I have mentioned many times that memorable evening in 1972 when the television networks reported that I had won the Senate race in North Carolina.

At first, I was stunned because I had never been confident that I would be the first Republican in history to be elected to the U.S. Senate by the people of North Carolina. When I got over that, I made a commitment to myself that I would never fail to see a young person, or a group of young people, who wanted to see me.

I have kept that commitment and it has proved enormously meaningful to me because I have been inspired by the estimated 60,000 young people with whom I have visited during the 23 years I have been in the Senate.

A large percentage of them have been concerned about the total Federal debt which recently exceeded \$5 trillion. Of course, Congress is responsible for creating this monstrous debt which coming generations will have to pay.

Mr. President, the young people and I almost always discuss the fact that

under the U.S. Constitution, no President can spend a dime of Federal money that has not first been authorized and appropriated by both the House and Senate of the United States.

That is why I began making these daily reports to the Senate on February 25, 1992. I decided that it was important that a daily record be made of the precise size of the Federal debt which, at the close of business yesterday, Tuesday, April 16, stood at \$5,142,250,889,027.95. This amounts to \$19,430.38 for every man, woman, and child in America on a per capita basis.

The increase in the national debt since my report yesterday—which identified the total Federal debt as of close of business on Monday, April 15, 1996—shows an increase of more than two billion dollars \$2,239,481,250.00, to be exact. That 1-day increase is enough to match the money needed by approximately 332,070 students to pay their college tuitions for 4 years.

CONCLUSION OF MORNING BUSINESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Morning business is closed.

TERRORISM PREVENTION ACT— CONFERENCE REPORT

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will now resume consideration of the conference report accompanying S. 735, which the clerk will report.

The assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A conference report to accompany S. 735, an act to prevent and punish acts of terrorism and for other purposes.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the conference report.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, I move to recommit the conference report on the bill S. 735 to the committee of conference with instructions to the managers on the part of the Senate to disagree to the conference substitute recommended by the committee of conference and insist on striking the text of section 414 (relating to summary exclusion), section 422 (relating to modification of asylum procedures) and section 423 (relating to preclusion of judicial review) from the conference substitute.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. There are 30 minutes on the motion, to be equally divided.

Who yields time?

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, I yield myself 6 minutes.

Mr. President, I will ask for the yeas and nays on this at the appropriate time but, I understand that the distinguished chairman of the committee is on his way to the floor. I would not make such a request until he was on the floor.

I am not taking this action lightly. I understand there is a real concern on

motions to recommit, but this is a very, very serious matter.

I understand the symbolism of trying to have this conference report adopted by the House on the 1-year anniversary of the terrible bombing of the Federal building in Oklahoma City and, for that matter, the 3-year anniversary of the tragic end of the siege near Waco. It is one thing to say we want to schedule a resolution or sense of the Congress to coincide with a memorial day but here we are talking about a very significant piece of legislation. While I think that all of us abhor what happened in Oklahoma—certainly, no sane American could take any pleasure in what happened in the tragedy in Oklahoma City—we also have a responsibility as U.S. Senators, no matter which party we belong to, to pass the best law we can. After all, that is what the American people expect.

The vast majority of Americans are opposed to terrorism, terrorism of any sort, and they assume that their elected officials, both Republicans and Democrats, are going to pass good anti-terrorism legislation. If it takes a day or two more to get it right, then let us take the day or two more. We are doing this for a nation of 250 million Americans, a very powerful nation, threatened by terrorism.

The Senate passed S. 735 on June 6, 1995, almost a year ago. The House only considered its version last month. The conference committee apparently met a couple of evenings ago, and we were handed the conference report yesterday with instructions to pass it post haste. Having seen almost 10 months elapse since the Senate passed this bill, I hope we take time to at least to read the conference report. And, I dare suggest, there are not five Senators in here who have even read the conference report or have the foggiest notion of what it is they are voting on.

This is what we are talking about. We are talking about a bill being rushed through here about antiterrorism, because we are all against terrorists. But I am willing to bet my farm in Middlesex, VT, you are not going to find 5 to 10 Senators in this body who have read every word of this conference report.

In particular, my motion to recommit concerns profound changes to our asylum process that were not previously considered by the Senate in our deliberations on antiterrorism last year. The provisions I am objecting to have nothing to do with preventing terrorism. That is one reason why they were not in the antiterrorism bill that we considered and passed last summer. These provisions were added in the conference.

They do not have to do with terrorism. I am asking only to strike sections 414, 422, and 423. These are general immigration matters. They should be in the immigration bill. They should not be in this antiterrorism bill.

I tried to amend these provisions during the Judiciary Committee consideration of the immigration bill. I failed